

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

grande MERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/492,265	01/27/2000	Yi-Hsien Hao	34556/JFO/B600	9668	
32294 7.	7590 11/03/2005		EXAMINER		
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.			PHILPOTT,	PHILPOTT, JUSTIN M	
14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TYSONS COR	TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182				
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2009		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	:
09/492,265	HAO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Justin M. Philpott	2665	:

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_ \_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. L The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) W will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-60. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. 🔯 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. 🔲 Other: \_\_\_\_ ALPUS H. HSU

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: independent claims 1,8,13,28,32,52 and 57 recite new limitations which raise new issues that would would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive...

Specifically, applicant argues that the prior art does not teach sharing a preselected portion of memory within the address resolution table. However, as discussed in the previous office action, and repeated herein, Muller teaches a memory structure (e.g. see FIG. 1 and col. 3, line 52 - col. 7, line 40) comprising an Address Resolution Table (e.g., address table stored in forwarding memory 113, see col. 4, lines 32-34) for resolving addresses in a packet-based network switch (101); and a Packet Storage Table (e.g., shared memory manager 220 locally storing pointers which point to buffers that contain packet data, see col. 6, lines 43-63) adapted to receive a packet for storage in the packet-based network switch and sharing a preselected portion of memory with the Address Resolution Table, wherein Address Resolution Table utilizes a preselected portion of memory comprising the forwarding and filtering database 140 (FIGS. 1 and 2). Thus, applicant's argument is not persuasive.

Further, applicant argues that the prior art does not teach a "single access" to locate "an entire packet". However, as recited in the previous office action, and repeated herein, Steiner is relied upon for providing the clear teaching of a single buffer per packet mechanism (e.g., single "page" buffer per packet, see col. 5, lines 54-65 and col. 7, lines 55-62) which is configured to receive an individual packet for enabling only one transmit descriptor (e.g., one pointer in table of pointers, see col. 5, lines 54-65 and col. 7, line 63 - col. 8, line 3 regarding addresses) read per the individual packet and to enable an execution of a single access in order to locate an entire packet to be transmitted (e.g., see col. 5, lines 54-58 wherein each page comprises a single packet, and see col. 7, line 63 - col. 8, line 3 wherein an access of an address of a page therefore enables location of an entire packet). Thus, applicant's argument is not persuasive.